MINUTE ENTRY FOR ARRAIGNMENT PROCEEDING

MAGISTRATE JUDGE: Peggy Kuo	DATE: 5/23/2025
CLERK: D. Wright	
DOCKET #: 24 CR 428(BMC)	LOG TIME: 11:15-11:23am
DEFENDANTS NAME: Jose Mercado	
x Present Not Present	Custody x Bail
DEFENSE COUNSEL: Samuel Gregory on Behalf of	CJA Morris Fodeman
Court Appointed Federal Defender	x CJA Retained
A.U.S.A: Michael Castiglione	
INTERPRETER:	LANGUAGE:
Defendant arraigned on the: Complaint Indictment Superseding Indictment Probation Violation	
Defendant pleads NOT GUILTY to ALL counts.	Defendants first appearance.
Government Agent Sworn	Rule 5f warnings given to the govt.
DETENTION HEARING Held.	BAIL HEARING Held.
Bond set at \$	
Defendant: released held pending satisfaction of bond conditions.	
Defendant advised of bond conditions set by the Court and signed the bond.	
Surety/ies sworn, advised of bond obligations by the Court and signed the bond.	
(Additional) surety/ies to co-sign bond by	
After hearing, Court orders detention in custody Leave to reopen granted.	
Order scheduling a Detention Hearing entered.	Bail Hearing set for
Preliminary Hearing set for	Preliminary Hearing waived by defendant
At this time, defense counsel states on the record that the defendant does not have a bail application /	
package. Order of detention entered with leave to reapply to a Magistrate Judge or to the District Court Judge to	
whom the case will be assigned.	
Order of Excludable Delay/Speedy Trial entered.	Start Date: End Date:
Removal District:	Removal District Case Number:
Removal (Rule 5) Proceeding held.	
Identity hearing held	Court: Orders removal Denies Removal
Defendant waives Identity hearing	Defendant waives Preliminary hearing
No bail application presented to the court. Commitment to the District entered.	
Medical memo issued.	
Defendant failed to appear; bench warrant issued.	
Status conference set for //2025 @ am before District Judge:	
Other Rulings: Bond modification hearing held. The government and defense counsel consented to a bond modification to remove the location monitoring with home detention component of the bond. Pretrial services contested the bond modification as stated on the record and recommended location monitoring with a curfew. The court denied the bond modification proposed by defense and the government and adopted pretrial services recommendation for location monitoring with a curfew.	